investigating sagittal discrepancies and dental development was published after our study had been carried out. Secondly, in studies conducted in our department comparing dental and skeletal ages in different skeletal sagittal and vertical jaw relationships, no difference was found regarding dental age in any studied group.6,11 The difference in results between the studies of Cemaloglu et al.6 and Kanjan et al.6,11 could be attributed to the sample size and characteristics (age, male-to-female ratio, ethnic background, and so on).

Once more, we highly appreciate Dr. Cemaloglu’s valuable comments; they certainly guide and present areas for further research taking various types of malocclusions and canine impactions with dental development.

Elham S. Agha Alliaghi
Brid. Jordan

The best continuing education

The February 2012 editorial concluded that perhaps our best continuing education is to “take final records and compare them with the patient’s pretreatment records.” Doucette7 finished his May 1987 guest editorial with the following words: “The main threat of our delirencies and concerns should be good treatment versus bad treatment. It is that simple. No labels are needed. Put the records on the table and label yourself.”

Our study group agrees that the final records are equally important as the pretreatment records. Twenty-five years later, posttreatment records continue to be consistently updated, because this is how we do science. This is not a fashion trend.

Armando Yukio Suga
Orlando Motobiro Tanaka
on behalf of Grupo de Estudo de Angulo de Ortodontia
Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil
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